

Prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension among staff of a tertiary institution in Enugu State, Nigeria

Hope Obiageli Nwoga,^{1,2} Miriam Obinwanne Ajuba,^{1,2} Chukwuma Paulinus Igweagu^{1,2}

¹Department of Community Medicine, Enugu State University Teaching Hospital Parklane, Enugu; ²Department of Community Medicine, Enugu State University College of Medicine, Enugu, Nigeria

Correspondence: Hope Obiageli Nwoga, Department of Community Medicine, Enugu State University College of Medicine, Enugu, Nigeria. Tel. +2348036015201.

E-mail: nwogahope@gmail.com

Key words: Nigeria, prevalence, undiagnosed hypertension, university staff.

Contributions: HON and MOA conceptualized and designed the study; HON and MOA analyzed and interpreted the data, while HON and CPI drafted the article and critically revised it. All the authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript and agreed to be held accountable for all aspects of the work.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Funding: none.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: ethics approval was obtained from the Research and Ethics Committee of ESUTH Parklane Enugu with approval number ESUTHP/C-MAC/RA/034/VOL.2/137.

Informed consent: oral consent was obtained from the participants after due explanation of the objective of the study. There was no form of coercion, and anonymity was maintained by using codes on the questionnaires. Confidentiality was maintained by making sure that only the staff and the research assistants knew the various measurements obtained from them.

Availability of data and materials: all the generated and analyzed data were used in this study. Study materials are available on request from the Department of Community Medicine, Enugu State University College of Medicine.

Received: 22 April 2023.

Accepted: 12 June 2023.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 4.0 License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2023

Licensee PAGEPress, Italy

Annals of Clinical and Biomedical Research 2023; 4:331

doi:10.4081/acbr.2023.331

Publisher's note: all claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Abstract

Hypertension is the most important modifiable risk factor for cardiovascular disease, and a high proportion of hypertension in Nigeria remains undiagnosed. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension among the staff of Enugu State University College of Medicine. About 86% (140 of 163) of the staff of the College of Basic and Clinical Medical Sciences, Enugu State University College of Medicine, Enugu, Nigeria, that met the inclusion criteria participated in the study. The blood pressure, weight, and height of consenting staff members were measured. A systolic blood pressure of 140 mmHg and a diastolic blood pressure of 90 mmHg according to the guidelines from the American Heart Association, were classified as hypertension. The weight and height measurements were used to calculate the Body Mass Index (BMI) of the participants. A structured questionnaire was used to collect data on the socio-demographic characteristics. The prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension was 21.4%. Almost half of the participants were pre-hypertensive (48.6%). On bivariate analysis, no socio-demographic characteristic significantly affected the prevalence of hypertension among the participants, but there was an inverse relationship between educational level and prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension. The study showed that there was a high prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension among the staff of Enugu State University College of Medicine. With the above result, there is an urgent need to create awareness and implement measures for early diagnosis of hypertension.

Introduction

Hypertension, also known as high or raised Blood Pressure (BP) is a global public health challenge.^{1,2} Hypertension is a chronic medical condition in which the BP in the arteries is elevated.¹ The higher the pressure in blood vessels, the harder the heart has to work in order to pump blood, thus making the heart work too hard.¹ It is popularly known as the “silent killer” because it has no specific signs and symptoms in the initial stage.³ Hypertension is the most important modifiable risk factor for cardiovascular disease.⁴ It cuts across every social class. Both lower-income groups and higher-income groups may be at increased risk of developing hypertension.⁵ The aetiology of hypertension is multifactorial.^{1,6} Aside from genetic factors, several behavioral and socioeconomic factors can put an individual at risk.¹

Worldwide, hypertension is the number one cause of death and is ranked number four in Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALY).⁷ It constitutes a public health epidemic with an increasing global prevalence, especially in low and middle-income countries.^{1,8} In sub-Saharan Africa, the burden of hypertension has been increasing continuously, with a prevalence varying widely between 15% and 70%.^{9,10} The increasing prevalence of hypertension is attribut-

ed to population growth, aging, unhealthy diets, lack of physical exercise, obesity, and increasing life stresses.¹

As it has a silent nature, early identification of subjects with undiagnosed hypertension may prevent or reduce the progression of many of its serious complications, including stroke, ischemic heart disease, congestive cardiac failure, sudden cardiac death, peripheral vascular disease, and renal insufficiency.^{1,11}

Hypertension is the most common non-communicable disease in Nigeria.¹² Hypertension and its complications constitute approximately 25% of emergency medical admissions in urban hospitals in Nigeria.¹³ It is the most frequently diagnosed cardiovascular disorder in Nigeria.¹⁴ Hypertension is rarely accompanied by any symptom, and its identification is usually through screening, or when seeking healthcare for an unrelated problem.^{1,3} Screening, ideally, not only detects hypertension, but also the basis for education and therapy.³ The country's statistics on hypertension are unreliable as most of the data are speculations based on mathematical models and surveys that are scanty and unrepresentative.¹⁵ This makes it necessary to conduct surveys that will generate reliable data that will inform decision-making at the appropriate levels of government. A prevalence rate is an important tool for assessing the magnitude and burden of a health event. Determining the prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension will help estimate its magnitude in the community and institute necessary measures to mitigate its accompanying dangers. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension among the staff of Enugu State University College of Medicine (ESUCOM).

Materials and Methods

Study area

The study was conducted at ESUCOM, Enugu State Nigeria at the Faculties of Basic and Clinical Medicine. ESUCOM is one of the two Colleges of Medicine in Enugu State.

Study design

This was an institution-based descriptive cross-sectional study.

Study population

About 86.0% (140 of 163) of the staff of the Faculties of Basic and Clinical Medicine of ESUCOM participated in the study.

Inclusion criteria

The staff of the College of Basic and Clinical Medical Sciences of the Enugu State University College of Medicine who were available and willing to participate in the study.

Exclusion criteria

Staff that were absent or on leave during the data collection.

Data collection

The World Health Organization (WHO) stepwise approach for non-communicable disease surveillance was used for data collection. Two levels of the approach were used: i) a structured questionnaire to gather socio-demographic characteristics; ii) anthropometric measurements.

Participants were approached during working hours in their respective offices within the college. Two research assistants (final-year medical students) were used to collect the data. They

were trained on how to collect the data and guided during data collection by the principal investigator. A brief explanation of the study protocol after verbal introductions were made, after which data was collected from consenting staff.

A structured questionnaire with two sections was used for data collection. The first section contained information on the socio-demographic characteristics of the participants, which was self-administered, while the second section is a pro forma where the anthropometric measurements (Blood Pressure, weight, height, and calculated Body Mass Indexes, BMIs) were entered.

Blood pressure measurement

The participant's blood pressure was measured with a mercury-in-glass sphygmomanometer, with an appropriate cuff size, while in a sitting position. The average of two consecutive measurements was recorded. The criteria of the Seventh Report of the Joint International Committee on Prevention, Detection, and Treatment of high BP¹⁶ was used to classify the blood pressure levels as:

- Normal BP: systolic BP <120 and diastolic <80 mmHg
- Prehypertension: systolic BP 120-139 mmHg and diastolic BP 80-89 mmHg
- Stage 1 hypertension: systolic BP 140-159 mmHg and diastolic BP 90-99 mmHg
- Stage 2 hypertension: systolic BP 160-179 mmHg and diastolic BP 100-109 mmHg
- Stage 3 hypertension: systolic BP 180 mmHg or more and diastolic BP 110 mmHg or more

Anthropometric measurements

A calibrated (in kilogram) Hamson weighing scale with an accuracy of 0.1 kg was used to measure the participant's body weight. The weighing scale was checked prior to each measurement to ensure that it was on zero. The participants were asked to stand erect on the scale with their footwear removed, looking straight without bending while the research assistant read off the weight. To doubly ascertain that the scale is functioning well, it was checked after each measurement to ensure that it returned to zero point. A tailor measuring tape was used to measure their height. The BMI was subsequently calculated from the weight and height measurements using the formula $BMI = \text{weight (kg)}/\text{height in meter square}$. The BMI was classified according to the National Institute of Health of the US as follows:¹⁷

- BMI <18.5 is underweight
- BMI 18.5-24.9 is normal
- BMI 25-29.9 is overweight
- BMI 30-34.9 is Class 1 obesity
- BMI 35-39.9 is Class 2 obesity
- BMI of 40 or more is Class 3 or morbid obesity

Data management

Independent variable

Socio-demographic characteristics of the staff.

Dependent variable

Undiagnosed hypertension.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) (IBM Corp., Chicago, USA) version 25. Categorical variables (age in groups, gender, place of residence,

educational level, marital status, staff designation, cadre, blood pressure categories and BMI) were presented as frequencies and percentages, while quantitative variable (age) was presented as mean and standard deviation. Chi-squared test was used to test for associations between variables with significant levels placed at $p \leq 0.05$.

Definition of terms

Urban dwellers are those that reside within Enugu Metropolis (Enugu North, Enugu South, and Enugu East Local Government Areas). Rural dwellers are those that reside outside the Enugu Metropolis.

Results

Table 1 shows the socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants. The majority of them were aged 40 years and above 83 (59.3%). More than half were females 76 (54.3%), and the majority were urban dwellers 120 (85.7%). The majority had tertiary education 96 (68.5%), married 116 (82.9%), and non-academic staff 95 (67.9%).

Table 2 shows the blood pressure status of the participants, with the prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension of 21.4% and a prevalence of all hypertension of 37.1%. Almost half of them were pre-hypertensive 68 (48.6%).

Table 3 shows that about half of the participants were overweight 75 (53.6%), 32 (22.9%) had normal weight, while 33 (23.5%) were obese.

Table 4 shows that no socio-demographic characteristics, including the BMI, significantly affected the prevalence of hypertension among the participants.

Discussion

The prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension in the present study was 21.4%. This is high considering the dangers associated with it. This high level of undiagnosed hypertension could be attributed to a lack of screening services or lack of awareness of these services where they exist or reluctance of the participants to go for regular check-ups. Another Nigerian study reported a similar finding, (25.0%)¹⁸, but other studies from Nigeria,^{19, 20} and Bangladesh²¹ reported lower figures. The prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension varies between Low and Middle-Income Countries (LMIC) and High-Income Countries (HIC), with higher prevalence in LMICs. This may be due to more functional health facilities that take care of the needs of their citizens in HICs.²²

The socio-demographic characteristics and BMI did not significantly affect the prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension in our study. However, we found out that the prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension has an inverse relationship with educational level as it is lower in higher-educated participants and increases as the educational level decreases. The reason could be that the higher-educated people have more health-seeking behaviors, have more knowledge about hypertension, and are financially empowered to access medical services when compared to the lower-educated participants.²² A similar study corroborated the findings.²¹

Females are less likely to have undiagnosed hypertension when compared to males. A similar study reported similar findings.²¹ This may be because females have more health-seeking behaviors than males, and hence their hypertension is diagnosed on time. Obese

participants had a higher risk of undiagnosed hypertension when compared to those with normal BMI. This was surprising as obese people are normally present in hospitals for other medical conditions like diabetes, making it easier for early diagnosis of hypertension. Other studies reported a contrasting finding.^{20,21}

A higher proportion of rural dwellers also had undiagnosed hypertension when compared to urban dwellers. This can be due to poor performance or the absence of functional health facilities in rural areas. The number of healthcare facilities is also higher in the urban area when compared to the rural area. A lack of qualified

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of the participants (N=140).

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Age (years) Mean \pm SD	43.52 \pm 10.42	
Age in groups		
20-29	13	9.3
30-39	44	31.4
40-49	39	27.9
50-59	32	22.9
\geq 60	12	8.5
Gender		
Male	64	45.7
Female	76	54.3
Place of residence		
Rural	20	14.3
Urban	120	85.7
Educational level		
Tertiary	96	68.5
Secondary completed	39	27.9
Primary completed	5	3.6
Marital status		
Married	116	82.9
Single	24	17.1
Other	0	0.0
Religion		
Christianity	140	100.0
Staff designation		
Academic staff	45	32.1
Non-academic staff	95	67.9
Cadre		
Junior staff	68	48.6
Senior staff	72	51.4

Table 2. Blood pressure status of the participants (N=140).

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Blood pressure category		
Normal	20	14.3
Pre-hypertension	68	48.6
Known hypertension	22	15.7
Undiagnosed hypertension	30	21.4

Table 3. Body mass index (BMI) of the participants (N=140).

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Body mass index		
Normal weight	32	22.9
Overweight	75	53.6
Class 1 obesity	19	13.6
Class 2 obesity	11	7.9
Class 3 obesity	3	2.1

Table 4. Factors that affect the prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension among the staff of a tertiary health institution in Nigeria (N=118). Known hypertensives were excluded from the analysis

Variable	Normal N(%)	Pre-hypertension N(%)	Undiagnosed hypertension N(%)	X ²	p
Age					
20-29	3 (23.1)	6 (46.2)	4 (30.8)	13.576	0.094
30-39	9 (23.7)	23 (60.5)	6 (15.8)		
40-49	6 (16.7)	23 (63.9)	7 (19.4)		
50-59	2 (9.1)	13 (59.1)	7 (31.8)		
≥60	0 (0.0)	3 (33.3)	6 (66.7)		
Gender					
Male	6 (11.3)	30 (56.6)	17 (32.1)	3.490	0.175
Female	14 (21.5)	38 (58.5)	13 (20.0)		
Residence					
Rural	2 (10.0)	10 (50.0)	8 (40.0)	2.942	0.230
Urban	18 (18.4)	58 (59.2)	22 (22.4)		
Educational level					
Tertiary	12 (15.0)	49 (61.3)	19 (23.7)	5.186	0.269
Secondary completed	8 (24.2)	17 (51.5)	8 (24.2)		
Primary completed	0 (0.0)	2 (40.0)	3 (60.0)		
Marital status					
Married	16 (16.8)	54 (56.8)	25 (26.3)	0.208	0.901
Single	4 (17.4)	14 (60.9)	5 (21.7)		
Designation					
Academic	7 (20.0)	20 (57.1)	8 (22.9)	0.404	0.817
Non academic	13 (15.7)	48 (57.8)	22 (26.5)		
Cadre					
Junior	13 (21.0)	35 (56.5)	14 (22.6)	1.691	0.429
Senior	7 (12.5)	33 (58.9)	16 (28.6)		
Body mass index					
Normal	3 (10.3)	17 (58.6)	9 (31.0)	9.168	0.328
Overweight	15 (23.4)	37 (57.8)	12 (18.8)		
Class 1 obesity	2 (12.5)	7 (43.8)	7 (43.8)		
Class 2 obesity	0 (0.0)	6 (75.0)	2 (25.0)		
Class 3 obesity	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)	0 (0.0)		

personnel that can correctly diagnose hypertension have been reported to affect the diagnosis of hypertension. A similar study reported that qualified health care providers diagnosed about 53.5% of hypertension while unqualified providers diagnosed 40.7% of hypertension in the study population.²³

Conclusions

The study was able to bring out the high prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension among the staff of a tertiary institution. Although no socio-demographic characteristic significantly affected the prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension however, the study found out that there was an inverse relationship between undiagnosed hypertension and level of education. Hence attention and screening should focus more on the less educated persons.

Limitations of the study

Waist circumference was not included in defining obesity in the study and hence some cases of obesity would have been missed. As a cross-sectional study, causal relationships cannot be established.

References

1. World Health Organization. A Global Brief on Hypertension: Silent Killer, Global Public Health Crisis. 2013. Available from: <http://www.who.int/campaigns/world-health-day/2013/en/index.html>
2. Kearney PM, Whelton M, Reynolds K, et al. Global burden of hypertension: Analysis of worldwide data. *Lancet* 2005;365:217-23.
3. Marshall IJ, Wolfe CD, McKeivitt C. Lay perspectives on hypertension and drug adherence: Systematic review of qualitative research. *BMJ* 2012;345:e3953.
4. Novo S, Lunetta M, Evola S, Novo G. Role of ARBs in the blood hypertension therapy and prevention of cardiovascular events. *Curr Drug Targets* 2009;10:20-5.
5. Opie LH, Seedat YK. Hypertension in Sub Saharan African populations. *Circulation* 2005;112:3562-8.
6. Dickson ME, Sigmund CD. Genetic basis of hypertension: Revisiting angiotensinogen. *Hypertension* 2006;48:14-20.
7. World Health Organization. Global Health Risks. Mortality and Burden of Disease Attributable to Selected Major Risks. 2009. Available from <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/44203>
8. Vasan RS, Beiser A, Seshadri S. Residual lifetime risk for developing hypertension in middle-aged women and men. *JAMA* 2002;287:1003-10.
9. Lim SS, Vos T, Flaxman AD. A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990–2010: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012;380: 2224-60.

10. Twagirumukiza M, De Bacquer D, Kips JG, et al. Current and projected prevalence of arterial hypertension in sub-Saharan Africa by sex, age and habitat: An estimate from population studies. *J Hypertens* 2011;29:1243-52.
11. Whelton PK. Epidemiology of hypertension. *Lancet* 1994;344:101-106.
12. Familoni BO, Ogun SA, Aina AO. Knowledge and awareness of hypertension among patients with systemic hypertension. *J Natl Med Assoc* 2004;96:620-4.
13. Ekere AU, Yellowe BE, Umune S. Mortality patterns in the accident and emergency department of an urban hospital in Nigeria. *Niger J Clin Pract* 2005;8:14-8.
14. Ogunniyi A, Baiyewu O, Gureje O, et al. Morbidity pattern in a sample of elderly Nigerians resident in Idikan community, Ibadan. *West Afr J Med* 2001;20:227-31.
15. Bello M. Nigerians wake up to high blood pressure. *Bull World Health Organ* 2013;91:242-3.
16. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, et al. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. *Hypertension* 2003;42:1206-52.
17. Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults: The Evidence Report. National Institute of Health. *Obes Res* 1998;6:51S-209S. Erratum in: *Obes Res* 1998;6:464.
18. Vincent-Onabajo GO, Adaji JO, Umeonwuka CI. Prevalence of Undiagnosed Hypertension Among Traders at A Regional Market in Nigeria. *Ann Med Health Sci Res* 2017;7:97-101.
19. Oladoyinbo CA, Ekerette NN, Ogunubi TI. Obesity and hypertension amongst traders in Ijebu Ode, Nigeria. *Afr J Biomed Res* 2015;18:23-27.
20. Bappah BS, Shittu A, Usman JS, et al. Prevalence and correlates of undiagnosed hypertension among staff of a Nigerian university community. *Pan Afr Med J* 2022;42:80.
21. Ahmed S, Rahman A, Hasan Z, Hasan M. Inequalities in the prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension among Bangladeshi adults: evidence from a nationwide survey. *International Journal for Equity in Health* 2019;18:33.
22. Zoellner J, Thomson JL, Landry AS, et al. Improvements in blood pressure among undiagnosed hypertensive participants in a community-based lifestyle intervention, Mississippi. *2010; Prev Chronic Dis* 2014;11:130269.
23. Khanam MA, Lindeboom W, Koehlmoos TL, et al. Hypertension: adherence to treatment in rural Bangladesh - findings from a population-based study. *Glob Heal Action* 2014;7:25028.

Non-commercial use only