

Inadvertent epidural administration of ceftriaxone in a post-cesarean section patient during analgesia top-up

Mustapha Miko Mohammed Abdullahi

Department of Anesthesia, Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital, Kano, Nigeria

Abstract

A 28-year-old ASA II woman underwent elective cesarean section under epidural anesthesia (15 mL 0.5% bupivacaine with 4 µg/mL fentanyl at L3-L4). Postoperatively, she received epidural analgesia with 0.1% bupivacaine plus fentanyl infusion and 1 g IV ceftriaxone 12 hourly for prophylaxis. Six hours after delivery, a nurse inadvertently injected 1 g (10 mL of 10%) ceftriaxone epidurally instead of local anesthetic, due to an unlabeled syringe mix-up. Immediately, the patient experienced severe pain at the site of incision and lumbar region Numeric Rating Scale (NRS 10/10), with blood pressure rising from 118/68 to 156/92 mmHg and heart rate from 76 to 112 bpm, consistent with intense pain and sympathetic surge rather than direct neurotoxicity. IV pentazocine 30 mg and IM diclofenac 75 mg resolved pain within 3 minutes; moderate sedation (Ramsay 3) occurred but resolved quickly, with vitals stabilizing (Blood Pressure, BP 124/74 mmHg; Heart Rate, HR 88 bpm). Neurological examination remained normal. The epidural filter was flushed with 5 mL saline, and analgesia resumed safely after 30 minutes. No neurological deficits, fever, or infection developed over 48 hours of monitoring; she was discharged on day 4 with no sequelae at 18-weeks follow-up. This case illustrates medication errors in obstetric units and contrasts the benign outcome of epidural cephalosporin exposure (mild, transient symptoms) with severe risks of intrathecal administration. Strict safety protocols are essential.

Key words: epidural, ceftriaxone, cesarean section, analgesia.

Correspondence: Mustapha Miko Mohammed Abdullahi, Department of Anesthesia, Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital, Kano, Nigeria.

Introduction

Epidural analgesia is standard for post-cesarean pain control, with nurses often performing routine top-ups.¹ Medication mix-ups, particularly between epidural local anesthetics and IV antibiotics like ceftriaxone, remain a hazard in busy obstetric settings due to similar syringes or unlabeled preparations.^{2,3} Ceftriaxone is routinely used for surgical prophylaxis, but epidural administration is rare and its safety poorly documented. Animal data indicate cephalosporins are neurotoxic intrathecally at high doses via GABA antagonism, potentially causing seizures.^{4,5} Human epidural cases are scarce and typically report only mild, self-limited symptoms, unlike intrathecal errors linked to seizures and up to 17% mortality.^{6,7} This report details inadvertent epidural injection of 1 g (10 mL of 10%) ceftriaxone post-cesarean, its effects, and factors explaining the favourable outcome.

Case Report

A written informed consent was taken from this 28-year-old ASA II woman (68 kg; Body Mass Index, BMI 26 kg/m²) who underwent elective lower-segment cesarean for previous scars under epidural anesthesia (15 mL 0.5% bupivacaine + 4 µg/mL fentanyl at L3-L4) to publish this case. Surgery was uneventful (Apgar 8/9). Postoperative care included 0.1% bupivacaine + 4 µg/mL fentanyl infusion (10 mL 2 hourly top-ups, 5 mL PRN) and IV ceftriaxone 1 g 12 hourly. Six hours post-delivery, a nurse injected 10 mL of 10% reconstituted ceftriaxone (100 mg/mL, pre-

pared for IV use but unlabeled) epidurally, mistaking it for bupivacaine. The patient immediately reported severe pain at the incision site and lumbar region (Numerical Rating Scale, NRS 10/10); Blood Pressure (BP) rose to 156/92 mmHg and Heart Rate (HR) to 112 bpm from baselines of 118/68 mmHg and 76 bpm. IV pentazocine 30 mg and IM diclofenac 75 mg relieved pain in 3 minutes. Moderate sedation (Ramsay 3) occurred but she remained arousable; vitals normalized within 10 minutes. Neurological exam was normal. The catheter was flushed with 5 mL saline. Analgesia resumed after 30 minutes (8 mL 0.125% bupivacaine + fentanyl), with excellent control (NRS ≤ 2) thereafter. Serial neurological checks for 48 hours were normal; no fever, meningism, or infection occurred. Labs remained stable (White Blood Cells count, WBC 11.2 × 10⁹ /L; C-Reactive Protein, CRP 28 mg/L). Discharge occurred on day 4; at 2-week follow-up patient had lower backache NRS 5-6 and numbness at right lower limb which necessitated a review by a neurologist who requested Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) which showed a normal study. She was given tablets (tabs) aceclofenac twice daily and levofloxacin 500 mg twice daily for 5 day and tabs chymoral 40 iu daily for 7 days with relief within one week. The 18-week follow-up showed no issues.

Discussion

This error during nursing-led top-up highlights risks from unlabeled syringes and workload.^{2,3} Wrong-drug epidural errors, though less common than analgesia failures (2-17%), carry serious preventable consequences.⁸⁻¹⁰ Despite receiving 1 g ceftriaxone

epidurally - exceeding animal intrathecal toxic doses (LD50 ~100 mg in rats via GABA antagonism)^{4,11,12} - no neurological harm occurred. Explanatory factors include: dilution and absorption in the epidural space (fat, venous plexuses), limiting spinal cord exposure; ceftriaxone's high protein binding (95%) and molecular size restrict dural diffusion,¹³⁻¹⁶ slower pharmacokinetics compared to intrathecal bolus, avoiding seizure-threshold peaks.^{17,18}

Clinical evidence

A 2024 review showed intrathecal cephalosporins cause severe complications, while epidural cases (n=2) had no lasting harm; prior epidural cefazolin/ampicillin reports noted only transient pain/paresis.^{5,7,19,20} Rapid systemic clearance in a young, healthy postpartum patient (half-life ~8 hours).^{16,21} Sedation was attributable to pentazocine, not ceftriaxone neurotoxicity (which causes encephalopathy/seizures, especially in renal failure).^{11,22} Epidural cephalosporin outcomes appear far safer than intrathecal or other agents like vancomycin/tranexamic acid.^{2,3} Post-incident, the unit implemented color-coded syringes, verbal read-back, separate prep areas.

Conclusions

Accidental epidural 1 g ceftriaxone caused acute severe pain and transient hemodynamic changes with transient neurological sequelae, likely due to favorable pharmacokinetics, dilution, and prompt management. This underscores the need for robust medication safety protocols in obstetric units, where epidural cephalosporin exposure appears markedly safer than intrathecal.

References

- Rodrigues GG, Furtado JC, de Oliveira TN, et al. Postoperative epidural analgesia in cesarean section: comparison of therapeutic schemes. *Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet* 2021;43:95-101.
- Cousins MJ, Bridenbaugh PO, eds. *Neural blockade in clinical anesthesia and management of pain*. 3rd ed. Lippincott-Raven; Philadelphia, USA; 1998.
- Cohen MR, Smetzer JL. Mix-ups between epidural analgesia and IV antibiotics in labor and delivery units continue to cause harm. *Hosp Pharm* 2019;54:155-9.
- Grill MF, Maganti RK. Neurotoxic effects associated with antibiotic use: management considerations. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2011;72:381-93.
- Sun Z, Xu X, Song Z, et al. Repeated intrathecal administration of ropivacaine causes neurotoxicity in rats. *Mol Med Rep* 2019;20:4477-84.
- Fuzier R, Albouzai G, Salomon A, et al. A systematic review of antibiotic administration incidents involving neuraxial routes: clinical analysis, contributing factors and prevention approaches. *Pain Ther* 2024;13:1-20.
- Ziegeler S, Raddatz A, Smul R, et al. A systematic review of antibiotic administration incidents involving neuraxial routes. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2023;48:123-30.
- Paech MJ. Failed epidural: causes and management. *Br J Anaesth* 2012;109:312-8.
- Desai N, Gardner A, Carvalho B. Labor epidural analgesia to cesarean section anesthetic conversion failure: a national survey. *Anesth Analg* 2016;122:2025-31.
- Lee S, Lew E, Lim Y, et al. Failure of augmentation of labor epidural analgesia for intrapartum cesarean delivery: a retrospective review. *Anesth Analg* 2017;125:916922.
- Kim KB, Kim SM, Park W, et al. Ceftriaxone-induced neurotoxicity: case report, pharmacokinetic considerations, and literature review. *J Korean Med Sci* 2012;27:772-4.
- Grill MF, Herrera J, Koch S. Ceftriaxone-induced acute reversible encephalopathy in a patient with enteric fever. *Indian J Pharmacol* 2012;44:124-5.
- Stoeckel K, McNamara PJ, Brandt R, et al. Effects of concentration-dependent plasma protein binding on ceftriaxone kinetics. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1981;29:650-7.
- Patel IH, Brockerhoff A, Weinfeld RE, et al. Pharmacokinetics of ceftriaxone in humans. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1981;20:634-41.
- Richards DM, Heel RC. Ceftriaxone: a review of its antibacterial activity, pharmacological properties and therapeutic use. *Drugs* 1984;27:469-527.
- Neu HC. Ceftriaxone: a new parenteral cephalosporin. *Med Clin North Am* 1987;71:613-24.
- Patel IH, Miller AK, Weinfeld RE, et al. Multiple intravenous dose pharmacokinetics of ceftriaxone in man. *Chemotherapy* 1981;27:47-56.
- Hayton WL, Stoeckel K. Pharmacokinetics of ceftriaxone in humans. *J Clin Pharmacol* 1985;25:484-93.
- Kopacz DJ, Slover RB. Accidental epidural cephalosporin injection: safeguards for patient-controlled analgesia. *Anesthesiology* 1990;72:944-7.
- Mchaourab A, Ruiz F. Inadvertent caudal epidural injection of cefazolin. *Anesth Analg* 2000;91:680-1.
- Stoeckel K, Trueb V, Eggers H, et al. Pharmacokinetics of ceftriaxone in subjects with normal and impaired hepatic function. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 1983;8:457-66.
- Jadot L, Judong A, Canivet JL, et al. Ceftriaxone-induced encephalopathy: a pharmacokinetic approach. *Eur J Case Rep Intern Med* 2021;8:003011.

Received: 3 January 2026; Accepted: 24 March 2026.

Conflict of interest: the author declares no potential conflict of interest.

Funding: none.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: not applicable.

Informed consent: not applicable.

Patient's consent for publication: a written informed consent was taken from the patient to publish this case.

Availability of data and materials: all data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Publisher's note: all claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).